



On Certain Properties for Hadamard Product of Uniformly Univalent Meromorphic Functions with Positive Coefficients

R. M. EL-Ashwah^{a,*}, M. E. Drbuk^a

^a*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University, New Damietta 34517, Egypt*

Abstract

In this paper we study some results concerning the Hadamard product of certain classes related to uniformly starlike and convex univalent meromorphic functions with positive coefficients.

Keywords: Univalent, meromorphic, starlike, convex, uniformly, Hadamard product.

2010 MSC: 30C45.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let the functions of the form

$$\varphi(z) = c_1 z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \quad (c_1 > 0; c_n \geq 0), \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\psi(z) = d_1 z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n z^n \quad (d_1 > 0; d_n \geq 0) \quad (1.2)$$

which are analytic and univalent in the unit disc

$$U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\};$$

also, let

$$f(z) = \frac{a_0}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_0 > 0; a_n \geq 0), \quad (1.3)$$

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: r_elashwah@yahoo.com (R. M. EL-Ashwah), drbuk2@yahoo.com (M. E. Drbuk)

$$f_i(z) = \frac{a_{0,i}}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,i} z^n \quad (a_{0,i} > 0; a_{n,i} \geq 0), \quad (1.4)$$

$$g(z) = \frac{b_0}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (b_0 > 0; b_n \geq 0), \quad (1.5)$$

$$g_j(z) = \frac{b_{0,j}}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n,j} z^n \quad (b_{0,j} > 0; b_{n,j} \geq 0). \quad (1.6)$$

which are analytic and univalent in the punctured unit disc

$$U^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\}.$$

A function $f(z) \in \Sigma$ is meromorphically starlike of order α if

$$-Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U^*; 0 \leq \alpha < 1). \quad (1.7)$$

A function f of the form (1.3) is said to be in the class $U\Sigma_0^*(\alpha, \beta)$ of meromorphic uniformly β -starlike functions of order α if it satisfies the condition:

$$-Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 \right| \quad (z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \beta \geq 0). \quad (1.8)$$

Also, a function f of the form (1.3) is said to be in the class $U\Sigma C_0(\alpha, \beta)$ of meromorphic uniformly β -convex functions of order α if it satisfies the condition:

$$-Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| 2 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \quad (z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \beta \geq 0). \quad (1.9)$$

It follows from (1.8) and (1.9) that

$$f \in U\Sigma C_0(\alpha, \beta) \iff -zf' \in U\Sigma S_0^*(\alpha, \beta). \quad (1.10)$$

The classes $U\Sigma S_0^*(\alpha, \beta)$ and $U\Sigma C_0(\alpha, \beta)$ have been studied by (Aouf *et al.*, 2014), (Atshan & Kulkarni, 2007), and others. We note that

- (i) $U\Sigma S_0^*(\alpha, 0) = S_n^*(\alpha)$ and $U\Sigma C_0(\alpha, 0) = C_n(\alpha)$ (see (Aouf & Silverman, 2008), with $n = 1$);
- (ii) $U\Sigma S_0^*(\alpha, 0) = \Sigma_p S_n^*(\alpha, \gamma)$ and $U\Sigma C_0(\alpha, 0) = \Sigma_p C_n(\alpha, \gamma)$ (also see (R. M. El-Ashwah & Hassan, 2013), with $n = p = \gamma = 1$);
- (iii) $U\Sigma S_0^*(\alpha, 0) = \Sigma S_0^*(\alpha)$ and $U\Sigma C_0(\alpha, 0) = \Sigma K_0(\alpha, \beta)$ (see (Mogra, 1991)).

Lemma 1.1. *Let the function f defined by (1.3). Then $f \in U\Sigma S_0^*(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n(1 + \beta) + (\alpha + \beta)] a_n \leq (1 - \alpha) a_0. \quad (1.11)$$

Lemma 1.2 (3). Let the function f defined by (1.3). Then $f \in U\Sigma C_0(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n[n(1+\beta) + (\alpha+\beta)]a_n \leq (1-\alpha)a_0. \quad (1.12)$$

Definition 1.1. Let the function f defined by (1.3). Then $f \in U\Sigma S_m(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^m[n(1+\beta) + (\alpha+\beta)]a_n \leq (1-\alpha)a_0, \quad (1.13)$$

where $(0 \leq \beta < \infty)$, $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ and m any positive integer number.

We note that $U\Sigma S_1(\alpha, \beta) = U\Sigma C_0(\alpha, \beta)$ and $U\Sigma S_0(\alpha, \beta)$ is equivalent to $U\Sigma S_0^*(\alpha, \beta)$. Further, $U\Sigma S_m(\alpha, \beta) \subset U\Sigma S_r(\alpha, \beta)$ if $m > r \geq 0$, the containment beign proper. Whence, for any positive integer m , we have the inclusion relation

$$U\Sigma S_m(\alpha, \beta) \subset U\Sigma S_{m-1}(\alpha, \beta) \subset \dots \subset U\Sigma S_2(\alpha, \beta) \subset U\Sigma C_0(\alpha, \beta) \subset U\Sigma S_0^*(\alpha, \beta).$$

Also, we note that for nonnegative real number m the class $U\Sigma S_m(\alpha, \beta)$ is nonempty as the functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{a_0}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\alpha)a_0}{n^m[n(1+\beta) + (\alpha+\beta)]} \lambda_n z^n,$$

where $a_0 > 0$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \leq 1$, satisfy the inequality (1.13). For the functions

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n \quad (a_{n,j} \geq 0; j = 1, 2). \quad (1.14)$$

We denote by $(f_1 * f_2)(z)$ the Hadamard product (or convolution) of functions $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$, that is

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,1} a_{n,2} z^n. \quad (1.15)$$

Similarly, we can define the Hadamard product of more than two functions. The quasi-Hadamard product of two or more functions $\varphi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ given by (1.1) and (1.2), (see (Kumar, 1987)).

$$(\varphi * \psi)(z) = c_1 d_1 z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n d_n z^n \quad (1.16)$$

In this paper, we can discuss certain results concerning the Hadamard product of functions in the classes $U\Sigma S_0^*(\alpha, \beta)$, $U\Sigma S_m(\alpha, \beta)$ and $U\Sigma C_0(\alpha, \beta)$.

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let the functions $f_i(z)$ defined by (1.4) be in the class $U\Sigma C_0(\alpha, \beta)$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and suppose that the functions $g_j(z)$ defined by (1.6) be in the class $U\Sigma S_0^*(\alpha, \beta)$ for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$. Then the Hadamard product $(f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q)(z)$ belongs to the class $U\Sigma S_{2m+q-1}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ n^{2m+q-1} \{n(1+\beta) + (\alpha + \beta)\} \left[\prod_{i=1}^m a_{n,i} \prod_{j=1}^q b_{n,j} \right] \right\} \leq (1-\alpha) \left[\prod_{i=1}^m a_{0,i} \prod_{j=1}^q b_{0,i} \right]. \quad (2.1)$$

Since $f_i(z) \in U\Sigma C_0(\alpha, \beta)$, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n[n(1+\beta) + (\alpha + \beta)]a_{n,i} \leq (1-\alpha)a_{0,i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m). \quad (2.2)$$

Therefore,

$$a_{n,i} \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)}{n[n(1+\beta) + (\alpha + \beta)]} a_{0,i} \quad (2.3)$$

which implies that

$$a_{n,i} \leq n^{-2} a_{0,i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m). \quad (2.4)$$

Similarly, for $g_j(z) \in U\Sigma S_0^*(\alpha, \beta)$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n(1+\beta) + (\alpha + \beta)]b_{n,j} \leq (1-\alpha)b_{0,j}, \quad (2.5)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$. Hence we have

$$b_{n,j} \leq n^{-1} b_{0,j} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, q). \quad (2.6)$$

Using (2.4) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, (2.6) for $j = 1, 2, \dots, q-1$, and (2.5) for $j = q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ n^{2m+q-1} \{n(1+\beta) + (\alpha + \beta)\} \left[\prod_{i=1}^m a_{n,i} \prod_{j=1}^q b_{n,j} \right] \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ n^{2m+q-1} \{n(1+\beta) + (\alpha + \beta)\} \left[n^{-2m} n^{-(q-1)} \prod_{i=1}^m a_{0,i} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} b_{0,j} \right] b_{n,q} \right\} \\ & = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{0,i} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} b_{0,j} \right] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n \{n(1+\beta) + (\alpha + \beta)\} b_{n,q}] \leq (1-\alpha) \prod_{i=1}^m a_{0,i} \prod_{j=1}^q b_{0,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q)(z) \in U\Sigma S_{2m+q-1}(\alpha, \beta)$. The proof of Theorem 1 is completed. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. Let the functions $f_i(z)$ defined by (1.4) be in the class $U\Sigma_0(\alpha, \beta)$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then the Hadamard product $(f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m)(z)$ belongs to the class $U\Sigma_{2m-1}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ n^{2m-1} \{n(1+\beta) + (\alpha+\beta)\} \left[\prod_{i=1}^m a_{n,i} \right] \right\} \leq (1-\alpha) \left[\prod_{i=1}^m a_{0,i} \right]. \quad (2.7)$$

Since $f_i(z) \in U\Sigma_0(\alpha, \beta)$, the inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) hold for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Using (2.2) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, and (2.1) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ n^{2m-1} \{n(1+\beta) + (\alpha+\beta)\} \left[\prod_{i=1}^m a_{n,i} \right] \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ n^{2m-1} \{n(1+\beta) + (\alpha+\beta)\} \left[n^{-2(m-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{0,i} \right] a_{n,m} \right\} \\ & = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{0,i} \right] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n \{n(1+\beta) + (\alpha+\beta)\} a_{n,m}] \leq (1-\alpha) \prod_{i=1}^m a_{0,i}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m)(z) \in U\Sigma_{2m-1}(\alpha, \beta)$. The proof of Theorem 2 is completed. $\square$

Theorem 2.3. Let the functions $f_i(z)$ defined by (1.4) be in the class $U\Sigma_0^*(\alpha, \beta)$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then the Hadamard product $(f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m)(z)$ belongs to the class $U\Sigma_{m-1}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. Since $f_i(z) \in U\Sigma_0^*(\alpha, \beta)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n(1+\beta) + (\alpha+\beta)] a_{n,i} \leq (1-\alpha) a_{0,i}, \quad (2.8)$$

for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Therefore, we obtain $a_{n,i} \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)}{n(1+\beta) + (\alpha+\beta)} a_{0,i}$ which implies that

$$a_{n,i} \leq n^{-1} a_{0,i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m). \quad (2.9)$$

Using (2.9) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, and (2.8) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ n^{m-1} \{n(1+\beta) + (\alpha+\beta)\} \left[\prod_{i=1}^m a_{n,i} \right] \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ n^{m-1} \{n(1+\beta) + (\alpha+\beta)\} \left[n^{-(m-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{0,i} \right] a_{n,m} \right\} \\ & = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{0,i} \right] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n \{n(1+\beta) + (\alpha+\beta)\} a_{n,m}] \leq (1-\alpha) \prod_{i=1}^m a_{0,i}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m)(z) \in U\Sigma_{m-1}(\alpha, \beta)$, which completes the proof of Theorem 3. $\square$

Remark. Taking $\beta = 0$ in our main results, we obtain the results obtained by Mogra (Mogra, 1991).

References

- Aouf, M. K. and H. Silverman (2008). Generalization of Hadamard products of certain meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients. *Demonstratio Math.* **41**(2), 381–388.
- Aouf, M. K., R. M. El-Ashwah and H. M. Zayed (2014). Subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined by convolution. *Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math.* **59**(3), 289–301.
- Atshan, W. G. and S. R. Kulkarni (2007). Subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh derivative I. *J. Rajasthan Acad. Phy. Sci.* **6**(2), 129–140.
- Kumar, V. (1987). Quasi-Hadamard product of certain univalent functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **126**, 70–77.
- Mogra, M. L. (1991). Hadamard product of certain meromorphic univalent functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **157**, 10–16.
- R. M. El-Ashwah, M. K. Aouf, A. A. M. Hassan and A. H. Hassan (2013). Generalizations of Hadamard product of certain meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients. *European J. Math. Sci.* **2**(1), 41–50.