

RESTORATIVE PSYCHOSOCIAL INTERVENTIONS AT THE INTERSECTION OF SOCIAL WORK, MIGRATION, AND PROBATION SERVICES

Adrian VLAI, Ph.D. Cnd.,

Interdisciplinary Doctoral School, Aurel Vlaicu University of Arad,
bnpadrianvlai@yahoo.com

Bogdan PÂRVU, Ph.D. Cnd.,

Interdisciplinary Doctoral School, Aurel Vlaicu University of Arad,
vbogdanparvu@gmail.com

Abstract: *Contemporary migration dynamics increasingly intersect with criminal justice systems, generating complex challenges for probation services and social work practice. Migrants involved in probation supervision often experience multiple forms of vulnerability, including legal uncertainty, socio-economic marginalization, cultural barriers, and limited access to institutional resources. These conditions require integrated responses that combine legal supervision with psychosocial and community-based interventions. This paper examines restorative psychosocial interventions at the intersection of social work, migration, and probation services, with a focus on the legal, institutional, and professional frameworks that shape contemporary probation practice. Drawing on interdisciplinary literature from social work, criminology, and legal studies, the study explores how restorative justice approaches can support rehabilitation, accountability, and social reintegration among migrant populations involved in criminal justice systems. The analysis highlights the role of probation services as hybrid institutions that combine legal oversight with social work interventions designed to address structural vulnerabilities and promote desistance from crime. Particular attention is given to culturally competent practice, interdisciplinary collaboration, and trauma-informed approaches as key components of effective restorative interventions. The paper further discusses implications for professional practice and policy development, emphasizing the importance of rights-based frameworks, institutional coordination, and community-oriented rehabilitation programs. By integrating restorative justice principles with*

psychosocial support mechanisms, probation systems can better respond to the complex realities of migration while promoting social inclusion, procedural fairness, and long-term reintegration.

Keywords: *restorative justice; probation services; migration; forensic social work; psychosocial interventions.*

Migration, vulnerability, and contact with the criminal justice system

Contemporary migration dynamics have intensified the interaction between social protection systems and criminal justice institutions, creating complex challenges for policymakers, legal professionals, and social workers. Migration processes frequently intersect with structural inequalities that shape individuals' access to employment, housing, education, and health services. In such contexts, migrants may experience layered vulnerabilities that increase the likelihood of contact with legal institutions, including probation services. Social work literature consistently highlights how migration-related marginalization may amplify psychosocial stressors, including discrimination, social isolation, and limited access to institutional resources (Lorenz, 2017; Payne, 2020). These structural pressures may influence pathways into the criminal justice system and complicate the reintegration trajectories of migrants subjected to judicial supervision.

Within this framework, migrants involved in probation systems often face cumulative disadvantages that extend beyond legal supervision. Precarious labor conditions, unstable housing arrangements, and fragmented social networks frequently undermine compliance with probation requirements and reduce opportunities for social reintegration. Research on immigrant families and vulnerable populations demonstrates that social workers frequently encounter situations in which institutional expectations exceed the actual support capacity available to migrant communities, generating ethical tensions and professional dilemmas in practice (Olcoñ & Gulbas, 2021; Yakhnich & Teichman, 2015). These circumstances highlight the need for probation interventions that move beyond purely legal compliance mechanisms toward integrated psychosocial support strategies.

The institutional role of probation services has evolved significantly in recent decades, reflecting broader transformations within criminal justice systems and social welfare governance. Historically associated primarily with supervision and risk management, probation services have increasingly incorporated rehabilitative and community-oriented functions (Robinson et al., 2016). These transformations have occurred

alongside structural reforms in several European systems, including processes of privatization, organizational restructuring, and changing professional identities among probation practitioners (Kirton & Guillaume, 2019). As forensic social work has expanded as a professional field, practitioners have been required to integrate legal mandates with psychosocial assessment and intervention strategies designed to reduce recidivism and support long-term reintegration (Bosker & Menger, 2025).

The interaction between migrants and criminal justice institutions must also be understood within the broader context of legal frameworks governing rights, obligations, and procedural guarantees. Legal scholarship emphasizes that criminal investigations and judicial procedures must respect fundamental rights, including proportionality, procedural fairness, and access to justice (Apachiței, 2020a; Apachiței, 2024a). These principles remain particularly relevant when migrants become involved in legal processes, as their unfamiliarity with institutional procedures may increase the risk of procedural misunderstandings or unequal treatment. Moreover, emerging forms of criminality, including cybercrime and transnational offenses, have further complicated the legal landscape in which migration and criminal justice intersect (Apachiței & Ichim, 2023; Apachitei, 2021).

Another dimension shaping the relationship between migration and criminal justice concerns the increasing importance of national security considerations within legal systems. Contemporary legal debates highlight how offenses related to national security, cyber threats, and transnational criminal networks require complex investigative procedures and coordinated institutional responses (Apachiței, 2022; Apachiței, 2025). These developments may indirectly affect migrant populations, particularly when migration governance intersects with broader security policies. In such contexts, legal scholars emphasize the importance of maintaining a balance between security measures and the protection of constitutional rights and freedoms (Apachiței, 2019; Apachiței, 2020b).

At the same time, European social work research stresses that migrants frequently encounter systemic barriers when attempting to access social services and legal assistance. These barriers include linguistic challenges, administrative complexity, and limited institutional sensitivity toward cultural diversity. For instance, probation officers and social workers operating in multicultural contexts must develop intercultural competencies in order to effectively communicate with clients and address culturally specific forms of vulnerability (Ghanem, 2018). Without such competencies, probation interventions risk reinforcing social exclusion rather than facilitating reintegration.

The intersection between migration and criminal justice also raises broader questions regarding the role of social policies in addressing social inequality. Studies examining migrant labor sectors and welfare systems demonstrate that structural labor market segmentation often exposes migrants to precarious employment conditions and limited social protections (Di Rosa et al., 2012). These socio-economic constraints may indirectly contribute to vulnerability pathways that intersect with criminal justice systems, particularly when individuals face financial instability or social marginalization.

Furthermore, the criminalization of certain social phenomena has historically influenced the relationship between social work and legal institutions. For example, the legal regulation of domestic violence illustrates how criminal justice frameworks and social intervention strategies may become intertwined in addressing complex social problems (Danis, 2003). Similar dynamics emerge in probation contexts involving migrants, where legal supervision must be accompanied by psychosocial interventions capable of addressing underlying social and psychological factors.

Taken together, these dynamics reveal that migrants' contact with probation services cannot be interpreted solely as a matter of legal supervision. Instead, it reflects the interaction between structural inequalities, institutional frameworks, and psychosocial vulnerabilities. Effective probation practice therefore requires an interdisciplinary perspective that integrates legal analysis with social work principles and restorative approaches. By recognizing the multifaceted nature of migrants' experiences within criminal justice systems, practitioners and policymakers can design interventions that simultaneously promote legal accountability, psychosocial support, and social inclusion.

The legal and institutional framework of probation services in migrant contexts

Probation services represent a central institutional component of contemporary criminal justice systems, particularly within European jurisdictions that increasingly emphasize community-based sanctions and alternatives to incarceration. These systems combine legal supervision with rehabilitative objectives, reflecting a broader shift toward socially oriented justice models that aim to balance public safety with reintegration and social justice considerations (Canton, 2024; Smith & Vanstone, 2002). Historically rooted in the traditions of social work, probation has evolved through complex institutional transformations, moving from welfare-oriented interventions toward risk management frameworks and later toward hybrid models

integrating both supervisory and rehabilitative roles (Payne, 2020; Raynor & Vanstone, 2016). As such, probation services function not merely as instruments of legal enforcement but also as mechanisms for supporting behavioral change and social reintegration.

The legal architecture of probation systems is typically grounded in principles such as proportionality, rehabilitation, and procedural fairness. These principles ensure that community sanctions are applied in ways that respect fundamental rights while promoting accountability and reducing recidivism. Legal scholars emphasize that the proportionality principle plays a crucial role in balancing punitive measures with the protection of individual rights within criminal justice procedures (Apachiței, 2020a). In addition, contemporary criminal investigations increasingly involve technologically complex environments, including cybercrime and digital evidence, which require careful procedural safeguards to ensure that fundamental rights remain protected throughout investigative processes (Apachiței, 2024a; Apachitei, 2021). These legal considerations influence how probation measures are implemented, particularly when individuals are subject to ongoing judicial supervision.

When migrants become involved in probation systems, additional legal and institutional complexities often emerge. Migration status may intersect with criminal justice procedures through residence permits, deportation regulations, or cross-border legal frameworks governing mobility and jurisdiction. These overlapping legal regimes create unique challenges for probation officers and social workers responsible for case management. In such contexts, legal scholarship underscores the importance of coherent institutional coordination to ensure that legal procedures do not undermine the protection of fundamental rights or create disproportionate restrictions on individuals' social participation (Apachiței, 2019; Apachiței, 2022). Furthermore, legal systems increasingly recognize that certain offenses, particularly those linked to national security or transnational criminal activities, require specialized investigative approaches that may indirectly affect migrants involved in judicial procedures (Apachiței, 2025; Apachitei & Sîrcu, 2023).

Within probation practice, the integration of legal mandates with social work principles has become increasingly important. Scholars emphasize that probation should not be understood solely as a mechanism of surveillance but rather as a form of applied social work embedded within the criminal justice system (Canton, 2024). This perspective highlights the historical and professional connections between probation services and social work practice, where supervision is combined with counseling, support, and community

reintegration strategies (Raynor & Vanstone, 2016). The concept of probation as a hybrid legal–social institution has gained particular relevance in the context of migration, where clients often require both legal guidance and psychosocial support.

Migration-related mobility further complicates the institutional landscape in which probation services operate. Global migration patterns have transformed the demographic composition of many societies, leading to increased diversity among individuals interacting with social welfare and criminal justice systems (Williams & Graham, 2014). For probation professionals, this shift requires new competencies in cultural sensitivity, legal literacy, and interdisciplinary collaboration. Practitioners must navigate complex institutional arrangements involving immigration authorities, social service agencies, and community organizations in order to provide effective supervision and support.

The interaction between migration governance and probation services also reflects broader social policy developments. European welfare systems have undergone significant restructuring in recent decades, affecting the organization of probation services and the professional roles of those working within them (Kirton & Guillaume, 2019). These transformations have influenced occupational cultures and professional identities among probation practitioners, particularly as services adapt to changing political priorities and administrative reforms (Burke et al., 2017). In some contexts, these reforms have raised concerns regarding the balance between managerial control, professional autonomy, and the traditional rehabilitative ethos associated with social work practice. From a social work perspective, migrants involved in probation supervision frequently face additional structural barriers that extend beyond legal processes. Socio-economic marginalization, language barriers, and limited access to welfare resources may hinder their ability to comply with probation conditions or to successfully reintegrate into the community (Lin et al., 2018). Research examining migrant labor sectors further illustrates how structural inequalities can shape migrants' living conditions and employment opportunities, influencing broader patterns of social vulnerability (Di Rosa et al., 2012). These socio-economic constraints highlight the importance of integrating social policy interventions with probation practices in order to address underlying causes of marginalization.

Human rights frameworks have increasingly emphasized the need for equitable access to justice and social services for migrants and asylum seekers. Social work scholars advocate for rights-based practice models that recognize migrants not merely as recipients of supervision but as individuals entitled to dignity, participation, and social

protection (Androff & Mathis, 2022). In this context, probation services must operate within legal frameworks that ensure non-discriminatory treatment and respect for procedural safeguards while simultaneously supporting rehabilitative goals.

At the same time, practitioners working with migrants in criminal justice contexts frequently encounter ethical tensions and professional challenges. Research on providers supporting immigrant families highlights the moral distress experienced by professionals when institutional resources are insufficient to meet the complex needs of vulnerable populations (Olcoń & Gulbas, 2021). Similar dynamics can occur within probation systems, where social workers must balance institutional responsibilities with ethical commitments to social justice and client well-being.

Another important dimension concerns the organizational structures within which probation and social work services operate. Contemporary research emphasizes the importance of reflective supervision and organizational learning processes in supporting effective professional practice. Supervision models grounded in reflective practice can enhance professional resilience, improve decision-making processes, and support ethical case management within complex institutional environments (Vişcu & Rad, 2024a; Vişcu & Rad, 2024b). In addition, the development of learning-oriented social work institutions has been identified as a key factor in strengthening professional competence and institutional adaptability (Viscu et al., 2025).

Emerging research also highlights innovative approaches designed to improve the effectiveness of social work interventions in complex social contexts. For instance, ecological momentary interventions have been proposed as a psychological strategy for enhancing client well-being through context-sensitive support mechanisms (Cadariu & Rad, 2025a). Similarly, efforts to address emotional regulation and burnout among social workers emphasize the importance of sustainable professional practices in demanding institutional settings (Cadariu & Rad, 2025b). These developments demonstrate the growing recognition that effective probation practice requires not only legal expertise but also strong psychosocial and organizational capacities.

The professional responsibilities of social workers operating within probation systems are therefore multifaceted. They involve legal case management, psychosocial assessment, community coordination, and advocacy for vulnerable clients (Viscu & Rad, 2025). In addition, contemporary research on forensic social work emphasizes the importance of trauma-informed approaches that recognize the psychological impact of criminal justice involvement on individuals

and communities (Rad et al., 2025). Such approaches align with broader trends in social work research, including studies on vocational identity, professional development, and evidence-based interventions aimed at supporting vulnerable populations (Cadariu & Rad, 2025c; Cadariu & Rad, 2025d).

Finally, institutional collaboration remains a fundamental requirement for effective probation systems in migrant contexts. Interdisciplinary partnerships between legal institutions, social services, educational organizations, and civil society actors are essential in order to address the complex needs of migrants under supervision. Social work research emphasizes that integrated intervention models are more effective in promoting long-term reintegration, reducing recidivism, and supporting community cohesion (Potocky, 2002; Chang-Muy & Congress, 2023). Through such collaborative frameworks, probation services can move beyond fragmented interventions and contribute to restorative and rehabilitative practices that strengthen social inclusion while upholding legal accountability.

In this sense, the legal and institutional framework governing probation services must be understood as a dynamic system situated at the intersection of law, social policy, and professional practice. By integrating legal safeguards with psychosocial interventions and interdisciplinary cooperation, probation systems can more effectively address the challenges associated with migration and social vulnerability in contemporary societies.

Restorative psychosocial interventions in probation practice

Restorative justice has increasingly emerged as a central paradigm within contemporary criminal justice systems, reflecting a broader shift from punitive models toward approaches that prioritize rehabilitation, accountability, and community healing. Within probation practice, restorative psychosocial interventions represent an integrative framework that combines legal supervision with therapeutic and community-oriented strategies aimed at addressing the social and psychological dimensions of offending behavior. These interventions emphasize the repair of harm, the restoration of social relationships, and the development of personal responsibility while promoting reintegration into the community (Umbreit, 2023; González, 2023).

The conceptual foundations of restorative justice are closely aligned with social work values that emphasize dignity, participation, and social inclusion. Instead of focusing exclusively on punishment, restorative approaches seek to create dialogical processes in which offenders, victims, and community members engage in constructive dialogue about the consequences of harmful actions. Such processes

may involve victim–offender mediation, restorative conferencing, or community-based dialogue initiatives designed to facilitate mutual understanding and accountability (Umbreit, 2023). Within probation contexts, these mechanisms contribute to transforming supervision from a purely control-oriented activity into a process of structured social reintegration.

In practice, restorative psychosocial interventions implemented in probation services frequently involve a range of multidisciplinary strategies. These may include mediation programs, therapeutic counseling, community reconciliation initiatives, and structured restorative dialogues. Empirical research indicates that restorative justice diversion programs can function not only as legal alternatives to incarceration but also as public health interventions that address the structural determinants of criminal behavior, including social marginalization, trauma, and community disintegration (González, 2023). Through these mechanisms, restorative interventions seek to create environments where behavioral change is supported through constructive social engagement rather than coercive institutional responses.

Within probation practice involving migrants, restorative approaches require additional cultural and psychosocial sensitivity. Migrants may experience complex psychological stressors related to displacement, migration trauma, or experiences of discrimination in host societies. These factors may influence both the circumstances of offending and the challenges associated with rehabilitation. Studies focusing on migrant survivors of trafficking and other forms of exploitation emphasize the importance of integrative psychosocial, legal, and social support mechanisms capable of addressing these multilayered vulnerabilities (Ahmedova et al., 2025). Consequently, restorative interventions in migrant contexts must be adapted to account for cultural diversity, linguistic barriers, and the specific psychosocial needs associated with migration experiences.

Probation officers and social workers occupy a crucial position in facilitating restorative processes. Their role extends beyond monitoring compliance with legal conditions to include mediation, psychosocial assessment, and the coordination of interdisciplinary support services. Research examining juvenile probation practice demonstrates that rehabilitation and reintegration are most effective when probation officers adopt supportive and participatory approaches that encourage behavioral reflection and community engagement (Khan et al., 2023). Such approaches resonate with restorative justice principles, which prioritize constructive dialogue and personal accountability over purely punitive responses.

Restorative psychosocial interventions have also been implemented in specialized correctional programs addressing specific forms of offending behavior. For example, comparative studies examining court-mandated interventions for domestic violence perpetrators have found that restorative justice programs can produce outcomes comparable to, or in some cases more effective than, traditional intervention models focused solely on behavioral control (Mills et al., 2013). Similarly, systematic reviews of intervention programs for perpetrators of intimate partner violence highlight the importance of addressing underlying psychosocial risk factors, including substance abuse, emotional dysregulation, and relational conflict (Exposito-Alvarez et al., 2023). These findings underscore the importance of integrating psychological support with restorative accountability mechanisms within probation interventions.

Another dimension of restorative practice involves community-based educational and participatory initiatives designed to strengthen collective responsibility for conflict resolution. Research on restorative strategies implemented in juvenile justice systems demonstrates that cooperative learning and community education programs can play a significant role in diversion processes, helping young offenders develop social competencies and reintegrate into supportive social environments (Wardianti et al., 2026). Such initiatives highlight the broader societal dimension of restorative justice, which seeks to rebuild community cohesion while addressing individual behavioral change.

Within the field of social work, restorative interventions are increasingly conceptualized as part of a broader ecosystem of therapeutic and community-based practices. Systemic family therapy models, for example, emphasize the importance of addressing relational dynamics within families and communities in order to support sustainable behavioral change. These approaches align closely with restorative justice principles, as both frameworks emphasize relational healing and collective responsibility (Cadariu & Rad, 2025a). By integrating systemic therapeutic approaches with restorative dialogue mechanisms, probation services can address the broader social environments in which offending behavior occurs.

The growing importance of trauma-informed social work has further reinforced the relevance of restorative psychosocial interventions within criminal justice settings. Research exploring developments in forensic social work highlights how trauma-informed frameworks enable practitioners to recognize the psychological impact of violence, institutionalization, and social marginalization on individuals involved in criminal justice systems (Rad et al., 2025). When applied within probation practice, trauma-informed approaches support restorative

processes by fostering empathy, emotional awareness, and constructive coping mechanisms.

Effective implementation of restorative interventions also depends on organizational and professional capacities within social work institutions. Reflective supervision has been identified as a critical mechanism for supporting practitioners working in complex and emotionally demanding environments. Through structured reflection and professional dialogue, supervision models enable social workers to manage ethical dilemmas, enhance professional judgment, and maintain resilience when working with vulnerable populations (Vişcu & Rad, 2024a; Vişcu & Rad, 2024b). Such supervision structures are particularly relevant in restorative justice contexts, where practitioners must balance legal obligations with therapeutic and community-oriented responsibilities.

Institutional learning processes also play an essential role in supporting the development of restorative practices within probation services. Organizations that function as learning-oriented institutions are better equipped to adapt to evolving social challenges and to integrate innovative intervention strategies into professional practice (Viscu et al., 2025). These institutional dynamics enable probation services to incorporate emerging psychosocial approaches, including ecological momentary interventions that provide context-sensitive support aimed at improving client well-being and behavioral outcomes (Cadariu & Rad, 2025b).

Sustainable restorative practice also requires attention to the well-being and professional identity of social workers themselves. Research on emotional regulation and burnout prevention emphasizes that professionals working in high-demand environments, such as probation services, require psychological support and organizational structures that promote resilience and sustainable practice (Cadariu & Rad, 2025c). Strengthening professional identity and competencies among social workers contributes to more effective restorative interventions and enhances the overall quality of probation supervision (Cadariu & Rad, 2025d).

Moreover, restorative psychosocial interventions contribute to broader preventive strategies aimed at addressing social exclusion and vulnerability. Evidence-based social work research highlights the role of professionals in supporting educational participation, preventing social marginalization, and strengthening community engagement among vulnerable populations (Cadariu & Rad, 2025e). These preventive dimensions complement restorative justice frameworks by addressing structural factors that may contribute to criminal behavior and social exclusion.

Recent interdisciplinary research further emphasizes the connection between restorative justice, desistance theory, and long-term rehabilitation. Studies examining correctional interventions demonstrate that successful desistance from crime often involves complex processes of identity transformation, social support, and constructive community engagement (Mihăilă et al., 2025). Restorative psychosocial interventions contribute to these processes by creating opportunities for individuals to rebuild pro-social identities and establish meaningful relationships within their communities.

The integration of restorative practices into probation services therefore reflects a broader transformation within criminal justice systems. Rather than relying exclusively on punitive measures, contemporary approaches increasingly recognize the importance of psychosocial support, relational repair, and community participation in promoting sustainable rehabilitation. In this sense, restorative psychosocial interventions represent not merely an alternative to punishment but a comprehensive framework that integrates legal accountability, psychological healing, and social reintegration within probation practice (Vlai & Rad, 2025).

Implications for social work practice and policy development

The intersection of migration, probation, and social work generates complex implications for professional practice and policy design. Addressing the needs of migrants within probation systems requires a multidimensional framework that integrates legal expertise, psychosocial intervention strategies, and community-oriented approaches. Social workers operating within probation contexts must navigate institutional mandates, ethical responsibilities, and structural inequalities while ensuring that supervision processes remain aligned with principles of social justice and human rights (Canton, 2024; Smith & Vanstone, 2002). In this regard, probation practice increasingly reflects the historical roots of social work as a profession concerned with social integration, welfare protection, and the reduction of structural inequalities (Payne, 2020).

One of the most important implications for practice concerns the development of culturally competent interventions. Migration has transformed the demographic composition of many societies, requiring social workers and probation officers to engage with individuals from diverse cultural, linguistic, and socio-economic backgrounds. Effective practice therefore depends on professionals' ability to understand cultural norms, communication styles, and migration experiences that shape clients' interactions with legal institutions (Cheetham, 2022; Williams & Graham, 2014). Intercultural competence has been

identified as a crucial professional skill within probation services, particularly when working with migrant clients who may have limited familiarity with host-country legal systems or social service structures (Ghanem, 2018).

From a social work perspective, culturally responsive practice must also be grounded in an ecological understanding of migrants' social environments. Research examining immigrant social work practice highlights the importance of recognizing both the strengths and challenges associated with migration experiences, including resilience, community networks, and cultural identity (Lin et al., 2018). At the same time, migrants frequently encounter structural barriers related to employment, housing, and social protection systems, which may complicate their reintegration processes during probation supervision (Di Rosa et al., 2012). These structural inequalities require social workers to adopt advocacy-oriented approaches that address both individual and systemic dimensions of vulnerability.

Another critical dimension concerns the ethical and emotional challenges faced by practitioners working with migrant populations involved in criminal justice systems. Studies on social workers supporting immigrant families indicate that professionals often experience moral distress when institutional resources are insufficient to address the complex needs of vulnerable clients (Olcoñ & Gulbas, 2021). Similar challenges arise in probation practice, where practitioners must balance supervisory responsibilities with ethical commitments to client well-being and social justice. Supporting migrant families affected by legal problems or juvenile delinquency further illustrates the importance of family-centered interventions capable of addressing broader relational dynamics (Yakhnich & Teichman, 2015).

The strengthening of institutional coordination represents another key implication for practice and policy. Probation services rarely operate in isolation; instead, they function within broader institutional networks that include migration authorities, social welfare agencies, non-governmental organizations, and community-based service providers. Integrated case management approaches are therefore essential for addressing practical needs related to housing, employment, legal assistance, and psychosocial support. Best practice models for working with refugees and migrants emphasize the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration and coordinated service delivery systems that prevent fragmentation of support services (Potocky, 2002; Chang-Muy & Congress, 2023).

Institutional collaboration also requires attention to the organizational culture of probation services themselves. Research examining the

professional environment of probation institutions highlights how structural reforms, privatization processes, and managerial reforms have reshaped occupational cultures within the field (Burke et al., 2017; Kirton & Guillaume, 2019). These transformations influence professional identities, decision-making practices, and the balance between surveillance and support within probation work. Understanding these institutional dynamics is essential for developing policies that reinforce the rehabilitative and social work dimensions of probation services (Robinson et al., 2016).

The quality of probation supervision remains a central factor in determining the effectiveness of rehabilitative interventions. Studies examining probation supervision practices emphasize the importance of professional skills such as communication, empathy, and motivational engagement in promoting behavioral change among individuals under supervision (Raynor & Vanstone, 2016; Shapland et al., 2012). These competencies are particularly important when working with migrants who may experience distrust toward institutions or unfamiliarity with legal procedures.

Policy development must also consider the broader sociological context within which probation services operate. Social work and probation professionals' function within social systems shaped by structural inequalities, institutional hierarchies, and political priorities. Sociological perspectives emphasize the importance of understanding how social structures influence individual behavior and institutional responses within criminal justice contexts (Cree, 2010). In this regard, policy frameworks should aim to reduce structural barriers and promote inclusive social policies that support reintegration rather than reinforce marginalization.

Human rights-based approaches provide an important normative framework for guiding policy reforms related to migrants within probation systems. Social work practice grounded in human rights principles emphasizes dignity, participation, and equal access to justice for all individuals, regardless of migration status (Androff & Mathis, 2022). Integrating these principles into probation policies can help ensure that supervision practices remain consistent with broader commitments to social justice and legal fairness.

In addition, policymakers must address the risks of stigmatization associated with criminal justice involvement. Research examining perceptions of stigma within criminal justice contexts highlights how marginalized groups, including migrants and individuals with mental health needs, may experience compounded forms of social exclusion when interacting with legal institutions (Afyonoğlu et al., 2025). Policies that prioritize restorative approaches and community-based

rehabilitation programs can help mitigate these stigmatizing effects by emphasizing reintegration rather than punishment.

Legal frameworks also play a fundamental role in shaping probation practices and their implications for migrants. Legal scholarship examining criminal investigations, national security offenses, and administrative procedures emphasizes the importance of safeguarding fundamental rights throughout judicial processes (Apachiței, 2024; Apachiței, 2025). Ensuring procedural fairness and proportionality within legal systems is particularly important when migrants interact with criminal justice institutions, as overlapping legal regimes related to immigration and criminal law may create complex regulatory environments (Apachiței & Sîrcu, 2023; Măță et al., 2025).

The intersection between criminal justice and social policy can also be observed in areas where social problems become criminalized. The legal regulation of domestic violence provides an example of how criminal justice systems increasingly interact with social work interventions designed to protect vulnerable individuals and support family stability (Danis, 2003). These intersections illustrate the need for policies that integrate legal accountability with social support mechanisms capable of addressing underlying social issues.

European policy developments have further influenced the institutional context in which social work and probation services operate. Reforms in welfare governance and criminal justice policies have reshaped professional responsibilities and institutional priorities across many European countries (Lorenz, 2017). As a result, probation services must continuously adapt their practices in response to evolving social challenges, including migration flows and demographic changes.

Finally, research examining the profile of migrants under probation supervision indicates that migration-related mobility and socio-economic conditions may shape patterns of supervision and reintegration (Ilie, 2024). These findings highlight the importance of policy frameworks capable of addressing the specific needs of migrant populations while maintaining equitable and effective probation practices.

In conclusion, the integration of restorative psychosocial interventions within probation systems has significant implications for both professional practice and public policy. Effective responses to the challenges associated with migration and criminal justice require culturally competent practice, strong institutional collaboration, and policy frameworks grounded in human rights and social justice principles. By combining legal supervision with psychosocial support and community engagement strategies, probation systems can

contribute to more inclusive and rehabilitative justice models that promote social cohesion and human dignity.

References

- Afyonoğlu, M. F., Pak Güre, M. D., Erükçü Akbaş, G., & Uğurlu, Z. (2025). Social workers' perceptions of the stigmatization process for individuals with mental health needs within the Criminal Justice System in Türkiye: An Intersectional analysis. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health, 24*(4), 336-348.
- Ahmedova, D., Abduvalieva, M., Yusupov, N., Yusupova, F., Qudratillayev, J., Qudratillayev, K., & Gafurova, N. (2025). Beyond Rescue: Integrative Psychological, Legal, and Social Support for Female Migrant Survivors of Human Trafficking. *Qubahan Academic Journal, 5*(4), 437-465.
- Androff, D., & Mathis, C. (2022). Human rights-based social work practice with immigrants and asylum seekers in a legal service organization. *Journal of Human Rights and Social Work, 7*(2), 178-188.
- Apachiței, I. D. (2018). Politici publice privind fraudă fiscală din zona transfrontalieră. În *Dezvoltarea economico-socială durabilă a euroregiunilor și a zonelor transfrontaliere* (Vol. 32, pp. 246–259).
- Apachiței, I. D. (2019). Perspectives on restricting the exercise of constitutional rights and freedoms in order to ensure national security. *Revista de Drept Constituțional, (2)*, 35–50.
- Apachiței, I. D. (2019). Theoretical and practical aspects regarding the institution of discontinuity of the prosecution. *Journal of Law and Public Administration, (9)*, 5–12.
- Apachitei, I. D. (2020). Competence of the General Anticorruption Directorate in carrying out the criminal investigation: Aspects of non-unitary jurisprudence. *Analele Științifice ale Universității Alexandru Ioan Cuza din Iași, Seria Științe Juridice, 66*, 313–330.
- Apachiței, I. D. (2020). Competența Direcției Generale Anticorupție în efectuarea actelor de cercetare penală. Aspecte de jurisprudență neunitară. *Analele Științifice ale Universității „Alexandru Ioan Cuza” din Iași, Seria Științe Juridice, 66*(1), 313–324.
- Apachiței, I. D. (2020). The principle of proportionality reflected in the jurisprudence of the Constitutional Court of Romania and the Constitutional Council of France. *Revista de Drept Constituțional, (2)*, 59–76.

- Apachitei, I. D. (2021). The cybernetic dimension of national security with regards to the European Union law. *Analele Științifice ale Universității Alexandru Ioan Cuza din Iași, Seria Științe Juridice*, 67, 213–238.
- Apachiței, I. D. (2022). Particularități ale investigației criminalistice în cazul infracțiunilor contra securității naționale. *Analele Științifice ale Universității „Alexandru Ioan Cuza” din Iași, Seria Științe Juridice*, 68(4), 9–19.
- Apachiței, I. D. (2024). Incidența drepturilor fundamentale în procedura percheziției informatice. *Analele Științifice ale Universității Alexandru Ioan Cuza din Iași, Seria Științe Juridice*, 70(4), 5–17.
- Apachiței, I. D. (2024). The prevalence of basic rights during the computer forensics procedure. *Analele Științifice ale Universității Alexandru Ioan Cuza din Iași. Științe Juridice*, 70, (5), 21-30.
- Apachiței, I. D. (2025). *Infracțiuni contra securității naționale*. Editura Hamangiu.
- Apachiței, I. D., & Ichim, C. S. (2023). The implications of cybercrime for national security. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 177, Article 03003. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/202317703003>
- Apachitei, I. D., & Sîrcu, I.-C. (2023). Particularities of the qualified active subject of crimes against national security and crimes committed by the military. In *International Conference for Doctoral, Post-Doctoral Students and Young Researchers in Humanities and Social Sciences* (Iași, Romania, May 31–June 2). <https://doi.org/10.47743/kaleidoscope.law.2023.1.02>
- Apachiței, I. D., & Sîrcu, I.-C. (2023). Particularities of the qualified active subject of crimes against national security and crimes committed by the military. In *International Conference for Doctoral, Post-Doctoral Students and Young Researchers in Humanities and Social Sciences* (Section: Legal Sciences). <https://doi.org/10.47743/kaleidoscope.law.2023.1.02>
- Bosker, J., & Menger, A. (2025). An Introduction to Forensic Social Work. In *Forensic Social Work* (pp. 1-14). Routledge.
- Burke, L., Millings, M., & Robinson, G. (2017). Probation migration (s): Examining occupational culture in a turbulent field. *Criminology & Criminal Justice*, 17(2), 192-208.
- Cadariu, I. E., & Rad, D. (2025). Ecological momentary interventions in social work: a psychological approach to enhancing client well-being. *Technium Soc. Sci. J.*, 71, 269.

- Cadariu, I. E., & Rad, D. (2025). Emotional regulation and burnout prevention: psychological contributions to sustainable social work practice. *Technium Soc. Sci. J.*, 71, 233.
- Cadariu, I. E., & Rad, D. (2025). Mapping the whole school approach in social and emotional learning and wellbeing: A bibliometric review with implications for social work and education. *Technium Soc. Sci. J.*, 72, 169.
- Cadariu, I. E., & Rad, D. (2025). Systemic healing for complex families: A review of the ecosystemic structural family therapy model in social work and psychological practice. *Technium Sustainability*, 9, 124-140.
- Cadariu, I. E., & Rad, D. (2025). The Role of Social Workers in Preventing School Dropout: An Evidence-Based Review of Interventions. *Technium Soc. Sci. J.*, 70, 292.
- Cadariu, I. E., & Rad, D. (2025). Vocational Identity in Social Work: A Co-Occurrence Analysis of Scientific Trends. *Technium Soc. Sci. J.*, 70, 278.
- Canton, R. (2024). Probation as social work. *Probation Journal*, 71(3), 214-233.
- Chang-Muy, F., & Congress, E. P. (Eds.). (2023). *Social work with immigrants and refugees: Legal issues, clinical skills, and advocacy*. Springer publishing company.
- Cheetham, J. (2022). *Social work with immigrants*. Routledge.
- Cree, V. E. (2010). *Sociology for social workers and probation officers*. Routledge.
- Dane, B. O., & Simon, B. L. (1991). Resident guests: Social workers in host settings. *Social Work*, 36(3), 208-213.
- Danis, F. S. (2003). The criminalization of domestic violence: What social workers need to know. *Social Work*, 48(2), 237-246.
- Di Rosa, M., Melchiorre, M. G., Lucchetti, M., & Lamura, G. (2012). The impact of migrant work in the elder care sector: recent trends and empirical evidence in Italy. *European Journal of Social Work*, 15(1), 9-27.
- Exposito-Alvarez, C., Santirso, F. A., Gilchrist, G., Gracia, E., & Lila, M. (2023). Participants in court-mandated intervention programs for intimate partner violence perpetrators with substance use problems: A systematic review of specific risk factors. *Psychosocial Intervention*, 32(2), 89.
- Ghanem, C. (2018). The need for intercultural sensitivity: A frontline report of a German probation officer. *International Social Work*, 61(2), 308-311.

- González, T. (2023). Restorative justice diversion as a structural health intervention in the criminal legal system. *The Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology (1973-)*, 113(3), 541-591.
- Ilie, C. (2024). The profile of Romanian emigrants under the supervision of Dolj county probation service. *Soc. & Soc. Work Rev.*, 8, 6.
- Khan, T., Zakir, M. H., & Khan, S. H. (2023). Nurturing rehabilitation and reintegration of youth offenders in the Pakistani Justice System: An analysis of juvenile probation officers. *Journal of Asian Development Studies*, 12(4), 916-927.
- Kirton, G., & Guillaume, C. (2019). When welfare professionals encounter restructuring and privatization: The inside story of the probation service of England and Wales. *Work, Employment and Society*, 33(6), 929-947.
- Lin, C. H., Chiang, P. P., Lux, E. A., & Lin, H. F. (2018). Immigrant social worker practice: An ecological perspective on strengths and challenges. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 87, 103-113.
- Lorenz, W. (2017). European policy developments and their impact on social work. *European Journal of Social Work*, 20(1), 17-28.
- Măță, D. C., Pupăzan, C. G., & Apachiței, I. D. (2025). *Drept administrativ: Caiet de seminar. Partea a II-a*. Universul Juridic.
- Mihăilă, M. M., Gavriluță, C., Dughi, T., & Rad, D. (2025). The Paradigm of Desistance and Correctional Interventions: An Interdisciplinary Approach to Relapse Risk Reduction in Sexual Offenders. *Societies*, 15(4), 112.
- Mills, L. G., Barocas, B., & Ariel, B. (2013). The next generation of court-mandated domestic violence treatment: A comparison study of batterer intervention and restorative justice programs. *Journal of Experimental Criminology*, 9(1), 65-90.
- Olcoñ, K., & Gulbas, L. E. (2021). "Their needs are higher than what I can do": Moral distress in providers working with Latino immigrant families. *Qualitative Social Work*, 20(4), 967-983.
- Payne, M. (2020). *The origins of social work: Continuity and change*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Potocky, M. (2002). *Best practices for social work with refugees and immigrants*. Columbia University Press.
- Rad, D., Runcan, R., & Kiss, C. (2025). Trauma-informed social work: A bibliometric exploration of research trends and developments in forensic social work. *International Journal of Social and Educational Innovation (IJSEIro)*, 148-167.

- Raynor, P., & Vanstone, M. (2016). Moving away from social work and half way back again: New research on skills in probation. *The British Journal of Social Work*, 46(4), 1131-1147.
- Robinson, G., Burke, L., & Millings, M. (2016). Criminal justice identities in transition: The case of devolved probation services in England and Wales. *British journal of criminology*, 56(1), 161-178.
- Shapland, J., Bottoms, A. E., Farrall, S., McNeill, F., Priede, C., & Robinson, G. (2012). *The quality of probation supervision: A literature review*. Sheffield: University of Sheffield.
- Smith, D., & Vanstone, M. (2002). Probation and social justice. *British Journal of Social Work*, 32(6), 815-830.
- Umbreit, M. (2023). *Victim meets offender: The impact of restorative justice and mediation*. Wipf and Stock Publishers.
- Vișcu, L. I., & Rad, D. (2024). Towards a process model of supervision in social work—a bibliometric analysis. *Technium Education and Humanities*, 10, 99-114.
- Viscu, L. I., & Rad, D. (2025). An overview of the social worker's responsibilities. *Technium Soc. Sci. J.*, 67, 382.
- Viscu, L. I., Rad, D., Cadariu, I. E., & Pinteia, F. A. (2025). Learning organization in social work institutions. *Technium Soc. Sci. J.*, 67, 370.
- Vișcu, L., & Rad, D. (2024). Reflective supervision and the strategic integrative model in social work supervision. *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, 66, 365-380.
- Vlai, A. & Rad, D. (2025). Restorative justice-informed criminal justice social work and probation services. *International Journal of Social and Educational Innovation (IJSEIro)*, 209-232.
- Wardianti, A., Wahyudin, U., Ardiwinata, J. S., Hasanah, V. R., & Setiawan, H. H. (2026). Cooperative Learning–Based Family Community Education as a Restorative Justice Strategy in Juvenile Diversion in Indonesia. *Frontiers in Sociology*, 11, 1772801.
- Williams, C., & Graham, M. (2014). ‘A world on the move’: Migration, mobilities and social work. *The British journal of social work*, 44(suppl_1), i1-i17.
- Yakhnich, L., & Teichman, M. (2015). Immigrant family in distress: Assisting immigrant parents of juvenile delinquents. *International Journal of Child, Youth and Family Studies*, 6(1), 1-16.